1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 147392 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3154	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2011-887
12	LAN THI PHAN 9251 Parliament Avenue	Case 140. 6077 587
13	Westminster, CA 92683	ACCUSATION
14	Registered Nurse License No. 547256	ACCUSATION
15	Respondent.	•
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	PAR	TIES
19	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Comp.	ainant) brings this Accusation solely in her
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of	
21	Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about August 25, 1998, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered	
23	Nurse License Number 547256 to Lan Thi Phan (Respondent). The Registered Nurse License	
24	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on	
25	November 30, 2011, unless renewed.	
26	111	
27	111	
28	///	
		1

Accusation

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
 references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 2761 of the Code states:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- (1) Incompetence, or gross negligence in carrying out usual certified or licensed nursing functions....
- 7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443, states:

As used in Section 2761 of the code, 'incompetence' means the lack of possession of or the failure to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by a competent registered nurse as described in Section 1443.5.

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443.5 states:

A registered nurse shall be considered to be competent when he/she consistently demonstrates the ability to transfer scientific knowledge from social, biological and physical sciences in applying the nursing process, as follows:

- (1) Formulates a nursing diagnosis through observation of the client's physical condition and behavior, and through interpretation of information obtained from the client and others, including the health team.
- (2) Formulates a care plan, in collaboration with the client, which ensures that direct and indirect nursing care services provide for the client's safety, comfort, hygiene, and protection, and for disease prevention and restorative measures.
- (3) Performs skills essential to the kind of nursing action to be taken, explains the health treatment to the client and family and teaches the client and family how to care for the client's health needs.

(4) Delegates tasks to subordinates based on the legal scopes of practice of 1 the subordinates and on the preparation and capability needed in the tasks to be delegated, and effectively supervises nursing care being given by subordinates. 2 (5) Evaluates the effectiveness of the care plan through observation of the client's physical condition and behavior, signs and symptoms of illness, and 3 reactions to treatment and through communication with the client and health team members, and modifies the plan as needed. 4 (6) Acts as the client's advocate, as circumstances require, by initiating 5 action to improve health care or to change decisions or activities which are against the interests or wishes of the client, and by giving the client the opportunity to make informed decisions about health care before it is provided. 6 **COST RECOVERY** 7 Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the 8 administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of 9 the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and 10 enforcement of the case. 11 **FACTS** 12 On or about May 6, 2009, the Board received a complaint from C.R. of Huntington 13 Valley Healthcare Center (hereinafter "Center"), a skilled nursing facility. The complaint alleged 14 that on March 2, 2009, PATIENT, a resident of the Center, suffered from cardiac arrest but CPR 15 was not initiated nor was 9-1-1 called until requested by the resident's family. When the 16 paramedics arrived, they were not able to resuscitate PATIENT. Respondent was the nurse 17 supervisor on duty. Respondent explained that no resuscitative efforts were undertaken because 18 she believed PATIENT's Advance Directive in PATIENT's electronic chart was "DNR", or "Do 19 Not Resuscitate." However, PATIENT was a full code, as reflected in the Advance Directive in 20 PATIENT's paper chart, which meant the PATIENT was to receive life sustaining measures in 21 the event of an emergency. 22 11. An investigation was performed by the California Department of Public Health. The 23 investigation revealed the following facts. PATIENT was admitted to the Center on February 9, 24 2009. He was diagnosed with multiple medical conditions including, acute respiratory failure, 25 septic shock, end stage renal disease and congestive heart failure. A family member was 26

identified as the responsible party. On the Advance Directive, the family member selected the

27

28

3

The Center had three licensed vocational nurses at the nurse's station who took care 12.

4 5

of the patient's basic needs and who supervised the Certified Nurses Assistants. There was one registered nursing supervisor present for each shift. Respondent was the nurse supervisor on duty

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

at the Center on March 2, 2009 during the 3:00 p.m. to 11:00 pm shift. The electronic nursing notes, completed by LVNs K.C. and M.B., for March 2, 2009 revealed that PATIENT was stable at 6:27 am and 3:20 p.m. PATIENT's vital signs were taken at 2:33 p.m. and were within normal limits. PATIENT was assessed at 3:17 p.m., which indicated PATIENT's mental status was unchanged, his breathing was regular and that he had a moist cough that was not productive, his skin was dry and warm and his temperature was 97 degrees. At 5:10 p.m., PATIENT complained of right shoulder pain and was subsequently

before sending PATIENT to the hospital, she checked PATIENT's Advance Directive in the computer file and believed the asterisk next to "Do Not Resuscitate" meant PATIENT was not to be resuscitated or hospitalized when in fact PATIENT was a full code status and did want life

medicated. About 1 hour later, at 6:05 p.m., PATIENT vomited a brown, thick and foul smelling

vomit. Respondent was advised that PATIENT vomited however, there was no documentation

that an assessment was performed or that vital signs were taken. According to Respondent,

sustaining measures in an emergency situation.

At 6:25 p.m., when a CNA was cleaning up PATIENT, PATIENT's condition declined such that he had no blood pressure, no respirations and no pulse. PATIENT's friend, who had been at his bedside, contacted PATIENT's brother in New Jersey. At 6:38 p.m., PATIENT's brother called the Center and asked if the paramedics were called. When advised that the paramedics had not been called, PATIENT brother requested that they be called. The paramedics arrived at 6:40 p.m. No CPR was performed before the paramedics arrived and the paramedics were not called until requested to do so by PATIENT's brother. The paramedics intubated PATIENT and CPR started but at 7:30 p.m., PATIENT was pronounced dead. PATIENT died of cardiac arrest. According to the electronic nursing notes, PATIENT family

1	and physician were notified of his death. The family member denied being informed of the		
2	decline in PATIENT's medical condition.		
3	15. The nursing notes of March 2, 2009 for 6:05 p.m., 6:25 p.m. and 6:40 p.m. were		
4	edited. Respondent admitted that she and LVN M.B. edited the nursing notes. The editions are		
5	as follows:		
6	a. <u>Notes for 6:05 p.m.</u> :		
7	i) Original note created by LVN M.B. states:		
8	bedside (friend Richard). According to advanced directives, resident is no CPR,		
9			
10	ii) The edited note by LVN M.B. on March 2, 2009 at 7:12 p.m. omits the information		
11	regarding the Advance Directive and states:		
12	resident had episode of emesis, brown colored, thick, foul odor, family at		
13 14	bedside (friend Richard), friend is aware of condition, he will call the family to inform of condition. Feeding turned off due to emesis, will continue to monitor condition.		
15	b. <u>Notes for 6:25 p.m.:</u>		
16	i) Original note created by LVN M.B. on March 2, 2009 states:		
17 18	resident was cleaned by CNA for emesis, cleaned and kept dry, HOB elevated 45 degrees to prevent aspiration, condition has declined with no b/o, no respirations, no pulse, checked by two nurses. MD informed, friend is aware and left message for brother, friend will call back.		
19	lest message for eromot, mond win our ouest.		
20	ii) First edited note by LVN M.B. on March 2, 2009 at 7:38 p.m. omits the		
21	information regarding the decline of PATIENT's condition and states:		
22	resident was cleaned by CNA for emesis, cleaned and kept dry, HOB elevated 45 degrees to prevent aspiration, MD informed, friend is aware and left message for brother, friend will call back.		
23	ii) Second edited note by LVN M.B. on March 3, 2009 at 5:20 p.m. adds the		
24	underlined information:		
25	resident was cleaned by CNA for emesis, cleaned and kept dry, HOB		
26	elevated 45 degrees to prevent aspiration. MD informed. Friend/Richard/Emergency contact, at bed site, who wanted to notify the brother		
27	who live [sic] out of state in New Jersey 18:38 Brother returned call, informed to [sic] brother of Patient's condition, Brother requested to call paramedic to evaluate.		
28			

1	c. <u>Notes for 6:40 p.m.:</u>	
2	i) Original note by LVN M.B. states:	
3	911 call, Paramedic arrived, intubation and CPR started	
4	ii) Edited note by LVN M.B. on March 3, 2009 at 5:27 p.m. added the underlined	
5	information:	
6	911 call. Paramedic arrived, Resident was assessed by paramedics and they intubation [sic] and started CPR, After approximately 30 mins, Resident non	
7	responsive to treatment and was announced dead after a long attempt of intubation and CPR. Paramedics stated that resident had a cardiac arrest, RN supervisor informed and will notify family.	
8	16. PATIENT's physician denied being informed of the decline in PATIENT's condition	
10	and was only informed after PATIENT's demise.	
	17. Respondent was terminated from the facility on or about April 6, 2009 for failing to	
11	appreciate a resident's full code status, misrepresenting facts surrounding the incident to her	
12		
13	supervisor when questioned, failing to document sufficient information in the chart surrounding	
14	the incident and charting under another nurse's name in the electronic record removing important	
15	information.	
16	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
17	(Incompetence)	
18	18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2761(a)(1) in	
19	conjunction with title 16, California Code of Regulations, sections 1443 and 1443.5 in that	
20	Respondent lacked possession of or failed to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and	
21	experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by a competent registered nurse when:	
22	a. Respondent did not suspect PATIENT was having a heart attack despite the presence	
23	of vomiting and shoulder pain, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 10-17 above, and	
24	incorporated herein as though set forth in full;	
25	b. Respondent failed to review PATIENT's paper chart, in addition to the electronic	
26	chart, for the Advance Directive. The Advance Directive in the paper chart showed PATIENT's	
2627	chart, for the Advance Directive. The Advance Directive in the paper chart showed PATIENT's code status was a full code and not a "DNR" as Respondent erroneously believed, as more fully	

1	c. Respondent did not know where to find the code status in the computerized version of		
2_	the patient record, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 10-17 above, and incorporated herein as		
3	though set forth in full.		
4	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
5	(Unprofessional Conduct)		
6	19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2761(a) for		
7	unprofessional conduct in that:		
8	a. Respondent made editions in PATIENT's electronic chart that altered documentation		
9	of a sentinel event, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 10-17 above, and incorporated herein as		
10	though set forth in full.		
11	b. Respondent failed to follow the protocol for contacting PATIENT's physician or his		
12	alternate when there was a change in PATIENT's condition, as more fully set forth in paragraphs		
13	10-17 above, and incorporated herein as though set forth in full.		
14	<u>PRAYER</u>		
. 15	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,		
16	and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:		
17	1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 547256, issued to Lan Th		
18	Phan;		
19	2. Ordering Lan Thi Phan to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs		
20	of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code		
21	section 125.3;		
22	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
23	$\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}$		
24	DATED: 4/27/2011 Mue The LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN		
25	Executive Officer Board of Registered Nursing		
26	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
27	Complainant		
28	SD2011700204/10687420.doc		